



THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Evolutionary epidemiology predicts the emergence of glyphosate resistance in a major agricultural weed

Citation for published version:

Comont, D, Hicks, H, Crook, L, Hull, R, Cocciantelli, E, Hadfield, J, Childs, D, Freckleton, R & Neve, P 2019, 'Evolutionary epidemiology predicts the emergence of glyphosate resistance in a major agricultural weed', *New Phytologist*, vol. 223, no. 3, pp. 1584-1594. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15800>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1111/nph.15800](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15800)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

New Phytologist

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: vComont, D., Hicks, H., Crook, L., Hull, R., Cocciantelli, E., Hadfield, J., Childs, D., Freckleton, R. and Neve, P. (2019), Evolutionary epidemiology predicts the emergence of glyphosate resistance in a major agricultural weed. *New Phytol*, 223: 1584-1594. doi:10.1111/nph.15800, which has been published in final form at <https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1111%2Fnph.15800>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Title: Evolutionary epidemiology predicts the emergence of glyphosate resistance in a major agricultural weed.

Authors: David Comont¹, Helen Hicks², Laura Crook¹, Richard Hull¹, Elise Cocciantelli¹, Jarrod Hadfield³, Dylan Childs⁴, Robert Freckleton⁴, Paul Neve¹

Affiliations:

¹ Department of Biointeractions and Crop Protection, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire, AL5 2JQ, UK

² School of Animal, Rural and Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent University, Southwell, NG25 0QF, UK

³ Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3JT, UK

⁴ Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, South Yorkshire, S10 2TN, UK

ORcIDs:

David Comont	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5235-1035
Helen Hicks	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1325-2293
Dylan Childs	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0675-4933
Robert Freckleton	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8338-864X
Paul Neve	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3136-5286

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/nph.15800

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author:

David Comont

E-mail: David.Comont@rothamsted.ac.uk

Tel: +44 (0) 1582 938 322

Received: 23 November 2018

Accepted: 11 March 2019

Summary

- The evolution of resistance to herbicides is a striking example of rapid, human-directed adaptation with major consequences for food production. Most studies of herbicide resistance are performed reactively and focus on *post-hoc* determination of resistance mechanisms following the evolution of field resistance. If the evolution of resistance can be anticipated however, *pro-active* management to slow or prevent resistance traits evolving can be advocated.
- We report a national-scale study that combines population monitoring, glyphosate sensitivity assays, quantitative genetics and epidemiological analyses to pro-actively identify the prerequisites for adaptive evolution (directional selection and heritable genetic variation) to the world's most widely used herbicide (glyphosate) in a major, economically damaging weed species, *Alopecurus myosuroides*.
- Results highlighted pronounced, heritable variability in glyphosate sensitivity amongst UK *A. myosuroides* populations. We demonstrated a direct epidemiological link between historical glyphosate selection and current population-level sensitivity, and show that current field populations respond to further glyphosate selection.
- This study provides a novel, pro-active assessment of adaptive potential for herbicide resistance, and provides compelling evidence of directional selection for glyphosate insensitivity in advance of reports of field resistance. The epidemiological approach developed can provide a basis for further *pro-active* study of resistance evolution across pesticide resistance disciplines.

Keywords

Epidemiology, Evolution, Glyphosate, Pesticide resistance, Quantitative genetics, Selection pressure, Weeds

Introduction

The evolution of resistance to pesticides and antibiotics is a signature example of rapid, human-induced adaptation (Palumbi, 2001; Hendry *et al.*, 2011; Gould *et al.*, 2018) and is a major challenge for applied evolutionary biologists (Carroll *et al.*, 2014; Hendry *et al.*, 2017). Projected increases in the global human population emphasise the need for a sustainable intensification of agricultural production to ensure food security (Tilman *et al.*, 2011). These efforts are hindered by pathogens, insect pests, and weedy plants that limit crop yields and increase costs of management (Oerke, 2006). Pesticides have become a mainstay of control strategies for these biotic threats, but exert extreme selective pressures (Rex Consortium, 2013), resulting in the rapid and widespread evolution of resistance (Davies & Davies, 2010; Heckel, 2012; Heap, 2014; Lucas *et al.*, 2015). In most cases, once resistance is detectable at significant frequencies within populations, it is difficult to counteract. Consequently pro-active approaches (instigating resistance management before resistance is widespread) are now advocated as they maximise the opportunity to delay the evolution of resistance traits (Mueller *et al.*, 2005; Weersink *et al.*, 2005). Such approaches can safeguard pesticide use for longer (Beckie, 2011), and provide greater long-term cost-benefits than more reactive measures (Edwards *et al.*, 2014). Nevertheless, despite calls for pro-active approaches to resistance management (Tabashnik *et al.*, 2014), few studies have sought to pro-actively identify signatures of adaptive evolution, and efforts to manage risks of pesticide resistance have remained largely reactive (Beckie *et al.*, 2000).

Competition from weeds is the most significant global crop protection threat (Oerke, 2006), exacerbated by the evolution of herbicide resistance. Prerequisites for the adaptive evolution of herbicide resistance traits include; intense or escalating selection pressure, phenotypic variation for herbicide sensitivity within- and between-populations, and a heritable basis for that variation.

Where historical and contemporary selection pressures can be quantified (via access to herbicide use histories), and where these correlate with heritable variation in herbicide sensitivity, it may be possible to infer patterns of ongoing directional selection for resistance. For polygenic traits, changes in the mean population-level value for herbicide sensitivity may provide a signature of directional

selection that anticipates the evolution of field resistance. This dynamic has been described as 'creeping resistance' (Gressel, 2009), and where it can be identified pre-emptively, this could provide a means to support and inform proactive resistance management strategies.

To date, resistance to herbicides has evolved in 249 species, worldwide (Heap, 2018). In response to this global epidemic of herbicide resistance, the use of the herbicide glyphosate has increased (Benbrook, 2016). This has been exacerbated in some agroecosystems by the adoption of genetically-modified glyphosate resistant (GR) crops (Green, 2009; James, 2010). Even in cropping systems where GR crops are not grown, glyphosate use has increased (Garthwaite *et al.*, 2015). The evolution of resistance to glyphosate was initially considered unlikely (Bradshaw *et al.*, 1997). Now however, resistance has been reported in 41 species (Heap, 2018), including in agroecosystems where GR crops are not grown (Preston *et al.*, 2009; Evans *et al.*, 2016). The ongoing global evolution of glyphosate resistance provides one of the most striking examples of rapid contemporary evolution in the face of human biotechnological advances.

Black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds.) is the most important weed species across Northern and Western Europe (Moss *et al.*, 2007; Hicks *et al.*, 2018), and this species' widespread resistance to a broad range of herbicide modes of action (Heap, 2014; Hicks *et al.*, 2018) has led to glyphosate becoming one of the last lines of defence in *A. myosuroides* control. Given global precedence for the evolution of glyphosate resistance, there is a need to evaluate the adaptive potential for such a trait within *A. myosuroides* (Davies & Neve, 2017). The scale of the current *A. myosuroides* epidemic, its economic impact, and the global importance of glyphosate for crop protection and food security make this an ideal model to assess the utility of a pro-active, epidemiological approach to identify signatures for the evolution of herbicide resistance.

Here, we use a combination of glyphosate sensitivity assays, quantitative genetics, and evolutionary epidemiology to examine the potential for evolution of glyphosate resistance in the UK. Using a national-scale survey of 132 *A. myosuroides* populations (Hicks *et al.*, 2018) together with 400 pedigreed seed families, we systematically assess national and field scale selection pressures, inter-population variation and heritability of glyphosate sensitivity, experimental responses to glyphosate selection, and correlations between glyphosate sensitivity and a national-scale gradient of selection intensity. We demonstrate significant inter-population variability in glyphosate sensitivity amongst UK *A. myosuroides* populations. We confirm that this variation is heritable, responds to experimental glyphosate selection, and correlates with a selection gradient, demonstrating a response to glyphosate selection in the field. These results provide clear evidence that the prerequisites for glyphosate resistance are evident in black-grass populations and that populations are undergoing

selection for resistance in the field, raising significant concerns over current UK glyphosate use patterns. This study provides a novel national-scale, pro-active, epidemiological analysis of resistance risks associated with increasing exposure to the world's most widely used herbicide.

Materials and Methods

Black-grass abundance and seed collection

In the summer of 2014, a series of 138 winter wheat fields spanning 11 counties across England were established as study-sites for an audit of Black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds.) abundance and herbicide resistance. The chosen fields represented a range of soil types, cropping practices and Black-grass abundance, and covered a significant portion of the current UK Black-grass geographical range. All fields were surveyed for Black-grass abundance using a density-structured approach, dividing each field into contiguous 20x20m quadrats and visually recording Black-grass density within each quadrat on a scale of 0-4 (absent to very high) (Hicks *et al.*, 2018). Previous studies have shown that this approach can provide a rapid yet reliable measure of weed density for subsequent assessment of weed population dynamics (Queenborough *et al.*, 2011). For analysis in this study, a field-scale Black-grass density value was used, calculated as the mean density state recorded across all quadrats within a field.

It was possible to collect black-grass seed populations from 132 of the 138 surveyed fields. Seeds were collected from ten locations in each field. Seed collection sites within a field were selected based on a stratified sampling design to ensure that seeds were collected from a range of locations throughout the field. Seeds were collected by gently shaking mature black-grass seed heads into a paper bag to dislodge mature seeds. At each within-field sampling site, seeds were collected from several plants within a 10m radius. After collection, seeds were air-dried in the laboratory before being cleaned with an air-column seed cleaner to remove debris and unfilled seeds. For each field (population), a bulk seed sample was created by combining a 50% sample of dried and cleaned seeds from each of the ten collection sites and thoroughly mixing to provide a single seed population for each field for subsequent experimental work.

Collection of field management histories

Field management history was collected from a total of 96 of the surveyed fields, including details of the field's crop rotation, cultivation practices, and herbicide usage. On average, we obtained seven years' management data per field, and this information was used to calculate a range of parameters for analysis (see supporting information Table S1). Three measures of glyphosate use were calculated as we wanted to separate glyphosate used primarily for herbicidal control from glyphosate used as a pre-harvest desiccant outside of the main Black-grass growth period. To do this we calculated a mean of the total number of glyphosate applications per year, as well as the mean glyphosate usage during the primary Black-grass growing period (herbicidal use, September to May), and mean glyphosate usage during Black-grass flowering and seed set (desiccant use, June to August). All 132 black-grass populations have previously been characterised under glasshouse conditions for resistance to the Acetolactate synthase (ALS) inhibitors mesosulfuron and iodosulfuron (Atlantis), and the Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACCase) inhibitors fenoxaprop (Cheetah) and cycloxydim (Laser), (see Hicks *et al.* (2018) for further details). Principal components analyses were performed on the population level survival of individuals (n=18 per dose) from each previous herbicide screening assay. In all cases, data were scaled and mean-centred before analysis. The first principal component axis (PC1) provided a linear variable that separated populations with contrasting degrees of resistance, and PC1 scores were extracted from each model and the mean calculated per population. These mean PC scores were used as a population-level estimate of resistance to each of these herbicides throughout the current study.

Temporal change in glyphosate use

A repeated-measures generalised linear mixed model design was used to analyse the overall change in glyphosate use over time. To avoid any potential bias of fields present in one year but not all others, a sub-set of 67 fields with a complete set of data for 2006-2014 were used for analysis. Annual glyphosate usage per field was assessed as the count of glyphosate applications over September to May and varied from 0-4 applications per field per year. Change in glyphosate usage was assessed using a Poisson generalised linear mixed model, with field ID and measurement year included as random terms to account for the repeated-measures nature of the data.

Glyphosate sensitivity assay

All populations were screened under glasshouse conditions to quantify the extent of variation in glyphosate sensitivity, measured for each population as the glyphosate dose required to kill 50% of individuals (LD_{50}). Seeds were germinated in Petri-dishes containing two Whatman No. 1 filter papers soaked in $0.02 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KNO}_3$. Petri dishes were maintained for seven days in an incubator (Sanyo, MLR-350) with a $17/11^\circ\text{C}$ temperature cycle and a 14/10 hour light/dark cycle. Six germinated seedlings were transplanted into each 8 cm plastic plant pot, filled with a Kettering loam soil mixed with 2 kg m^{-2} osmocote fertiliser. In total 2,772 pots (16,632 Black-grass plants) were grown, with 21 pots per population providing three replicate pots ($n=3$) for each of seven herbicide doses. The three replicate pots at each dose were blocked over three glasshouse compartments, with pot position within each compartment determined using a randomised alpha design. Glasshouse compartments were maintained at approximately $16/14^\circ\text{C}$ for the duration of the experiment (14/03/16 – 27/04/16) and plants were watered daily from above.

The seven glyphosate doses used were control (no herbicide) plus; 67.5, 135, 270, 405, 540, and 675 g ha^{-1} of glyphosate (current UK glyphosate field rate is 540 g ha^{-1}). Plants were sprayed after three weeks' growth at the three to four leaf stage. For spraying, plants were removed from the glasshouse and herbicide was applied using a fixed track-sprayer. The spray nozzle (Teejet, 110015VK) was mounted 50cm above the plants, with boom speed set at 0.33 m s^{-1} applying herbicide at a rate of 214 L ha^{-1} . Plants were placed back into the glasshouse immediately following spraying. Three weeks after spraying, plants were visually inspected for survival, and any plant with visible new growth was designated as a survivor.

Dose-response analyses were conducted using R (v 3.3.1) and the package 'drc' (Ritz *et al.*, 2015). Proportional survival per replicate was calculated from the number of survivors vs. total number of plants per pot, and was analysed using a binomial two parameter regression model, weighted by the total number of plants per pot. Model comparison was performed between two parameter log logistic, Weibull type-1 and Weibull type-2 fitted curves, as well as unconstrained three and four parameter log logistic regression models. Comparison of AIC (Akaike information criterion) values for the fitted models showed the two parameter Weibull type-1 to be the optimal model, and this was retained for subsequent analysis. Following curve-fitting, the LD_{50} values (the estimated glyphosate doses required to kill 50% of the population) were extracted from the fitted model. The geographic distribution of LD_{50} values and percentage survival data was assessed using Mantel tests with the package 'vegan' (Oksanen *et al.*, 2017), using the Pearson method with significance estimated following Monte-Carlo simulation with 9999 repeats. No evidence was found for spatial

autocorrelation of the percentage survival data at either the 540 or 405 g ha⁻¹ glyphosate dose (P = 0.481 ns, P = 0.179 ns), or in the LD₅₀ values (P = 0.371 ns, supporting information Table S2).

LD₅₀ of glyphosate survivors

Following the glyphosate sensitivity assay, five populations with the greatest total number of surviving plants at the three highest glyphosate doses (405, 540, and 675 g ha⁻¹) were identified. Survivors (N=18-22 per population) were individually transplanted into six-inch pots containing Kettering loam soil, and maintained under ambient outdoor conditions over April-June (Harpenden, UK). All plants recovered aboveground biomass and were moved into 2x4 m glasshouses at the onset of floral initiation, allowing plants to cross pollinate and produce seeds. A dose-response assay was carried out under glasshouse conditions to identify if glyphosate sensitivity was altered in the once-selected seed. Seedlings were pre-germinated, grown, and sprayed with glyphosate in an identical manner to the previous glyphosate assay. Proportional survival per replicate was calculated from the number of survivors vs. total number of plants per pot. Survival data was analysed using a two parameter regression model with a binomial error term, weighted by the total number of plants per pot, and fitted using the package 'drc' (Ritz *et al.*, 2015).

Quantitative genetic analysis of pedigree seed families

Nine of the collected *A. myosuroides* populations were used to generate a set of 400 pedigree seed families with a paternal ½ sibling crossing design. At the time of seed collection, ten sampling locations were identified within each field using a stratified random approach, and five Black-grass seed heads were collected at each location. In all cases, seed heads were collected from different individual Black-grass plants, with 50 seed heads collected in total from each field. Seeds from each seed head were pre-germinated as previously described, with a single germinated seedling from each head transplanted into individual 6-inch plastic plant pots containing compost. Plants were maintained in a glasshouse for three months over September – November, with temperature control set to 16/14°C. Plants were vernalised for one month over December by turning off supplemental heating and lighting in the glasshouse. After vernalisation, plants were maintained at 20/15°C with supplemental lighting provided over a 14/10 hour day to stimulate growth.

Once plants were well established, controlled crossing between paired plants was performed to generate a set of paternal half-sibling seed families for experimentation. In order to do this, one quarter of the plants from each population were randomly chosen and designated as pollen donors (paternal plants). These plants were split apart using a system of vegetative cloning, creating three genetically identical tillers. Each of the three clones was randomly paired with an un-cloned (maternal) individual from the same population, and paired plants were grown together within a glasshouse. At the onset of flowering, paired plants were bagged together using plastic pollination bags, allowing fertilisation of seed heads within each pair, and preventing cross pollination between different pairs. Once seed heads were mature, the pairs were separated, and seeds from each maternal plant were collected by gently shaking heads into a paper bag. As *A. myosuroides* is an obligate outcrossing species, all seeds from the maternal plant were considered to be the result of pollination from the paired paternal plant. In total, 400 pedigreed seed families were produced in this way.

To assess the glyphosate sensitivity of each seed family, seeds were germinated as previously described, with germinated seedlings transplanted into individual 7 cm plastic plant pots. Plants were distributed over three identical, consecutive glasshouse rooms, and maintained at approximately 16/14°C until reaching the three-four leaf stage, at which point they were sprayed with glyphosate using the same herbicide track sprayer as previously. In total 8,400 Black-grass plants were grown, providing seven replicate plants ($N=7$) for each of three herbicide doses (0, 216 and 378 g ha⁻¹). Four weeks after spraying, plants were harvested and aboveground biomass recorded following oven drying at 80°C for 48 hours. Due to constraints on space, all 400 seed families could not be assayed at the same time. Instead, the experiment was performed three times, each time testing a third of the seed families. Approximately equal numbers of families from each of the nine source populations were assessed in each experimental run, and ½ sibling families were not split between runs.

The results of glyphosate sensitivity screening for the 400 pedigreed seed families was analysed with an animal model using a Bayesian mixed model approach (Wilson *et al.*, 2010), allowing estimation of the additive genetic variance (V_A), co-variance (r_g), and the narrow sense heritability (h^2) underpinning maintenance of biomass following glyphosate exposure. A three-trait model was assessed, with the response variables being the log-transformed aboveground plant biomass at each of the three glyphosate doses (0, 216 and 378 g ha⁻¹). Terms for the experimental run and glasshouse were included as fixed effects, to account for any variance in biomass between glasshouse compartments and over the repeated runs of the experiment. Additional random terms were fitted

for maternal effects, and the effect of differences due to the original source population from which the *A. myosuroides* seed was derived. Parameter expanded priors were used, and the residual covariance structure was fixed at zero, to account for each of the focal traits being measured on a different individual plant.

Field management predictors of glyphosate sensitivity

Field management variables and the principal component scores representing resistance to the previously characterised ALS and ACCase herbicides were evaluated as predictors of glyphosate sensitivity using a linear mixed modelling approach in R (v 3.3.1) with the packager 'lme4' (Bates *et al.*, 2015). All populations with ≥ 4 years complete herbicide and cultivation records were retained, resulting in 89 populations used for analysis. LD₅₀ values from the glyphosate sensitivity screening were used as the dependent variable, representing a population-level estimate of glyphosate sensitivity. 'Farm name' was included as a random effect to account for other potential unmeasured sources of variability amongst the surveyed farms. An initial set of models were assessed to individually compare the three glyphosate usage measures (total glyphosate use, herbicidal use, and desiccant use) as predictors of glyphosate sensitivity (supporting information Table S3). Following this, a subsequent larger model was evaluated including the other population, management, and resistance measures to identify their relationship with the LD₅₀ values. Individual terms were added sequentially as fixed effects to the model, with P-values calculated for each model term via parametric bootstrapping using the 'pbkrtest' package (Halekoh & Højsgaard, 2014). Marginal and conditional R² for the fitted model were calculated using the 'MuMin' package (Bartoń, 2016). Model residuals showed no significant spatial autocorrelation, assessed using Morans-I with the package 'lctools' (Kalogirou, 2016), (supporting information Table S4, Fig. S1), and a backwards step-wise model reduction was performed as a secondary check for the importance of significant model terms (see supporting information Table S5).

Results

Selection pressure for glyphosate resistance is increasing

Glyphosate usage across the UK has risen considerably, with an approximately eight-fold increase in the area of cereal crops receiving glyphosate treatment over 1990 to 2014 (Garthwaite *et al.*, 2015) (Fig. 1a). To establish if glyphosate usage has changed over time at our survey locations, a set of 67

fields with a full herbicide application history for the period 2006-2014 were assessed for temporal trends in glyphosate usage. A significant increase in glyphosate use over this time was observed (Fig. 1b), confirming that selection pressure for a glyphosate resistance trait is likely increasing within UK agriculture. UK farmers are increasingly reliant on glyphosate for weed control, highlighting the potential for significant agronomic, economic and environmental consequences if glyphosate resistance were to evolve.

A. myosuroides populations exhibit considerable variation in glyphosate sensitivity

We found evidence for considerable inter-population variability in sensitivity to glyphosate (Fig. 2, 3). The recommended field application rate for glyphosate in the UK (540 g ha^{-1}) resulted in a mean population level mortality of 95.4% ($\pm 0.6 \text{ SE}$), indicating the potential for some individuals to survive in a field situation. Notably, percentage mortality at a 405 g ha^{-1} dose (0.75x field rate) varied from 94% to 15% amongst populations (Fig. 2). Inter-population variation in glyphosate sensitivity is clearly evident from an analysis of LD_{50} values (dose resulting in 50% mortality) which ranged from 230 g ha^{-1} to 470 g ha^{-1} (Fig. 3). These levels of variation suggest that glyphosate may not currently provide complete control of treated plants in field situations. If variation in sensitivity is a heritable trait, these observations suggest that there is potential for ongoing adaptation to glyphosate in UK blackgrass populations.

Reduced sensitivity to glyphosate is heritable

We found that variation in plant biomass following glyphosate application was heritable (Fig. 4). The log-transformed biomass of unsprayed plants had a narrow-sense heritability (h^2) of 0.27 (95% credible interval from 0.15 – 0.45), indicating that variability in early plant establishment and growth has a weak heritable basis. At the higher glyphosate dose (378 g ha^{-1}) heritability was similar at 0.28 (95% credible interval from 0.17 – 0.43), (Fig. 4a). However, no evidence was found for a genetic correlation between biomass of treated and untreated plants (posterior mean of 0.035, 95% credible interval from -0.48 – 0.37) (Fig. 4b), indicating that higher plant biomass following glyphosate treatment was not simply a function of intrinsic differences in plant growth rates. In a further experiment, a significant response to glyphosate selection was observed amongst a subset of populations from the initial glyphosate sensitivity assay. Dose-response analysis of progeny from survivors of glyphosate application indicates that the LD_{50} values of the once-selected population is significantly greater than for the baseline population (Fig. 4c), with several survivors at the

glyphosate field rate (540 g ha⁻¹). These results clearly indicate that glyphosate sensitivity is heritable, and given the increasing frequency of glyphosate usage observed (Fig. 1), raises the possibility that UK blackgrass populations are experiencing ongoing and escalating selection for reduced glyphosate sensitivity.

Glyphosate resistance is under selection in the field

For populations where historical glyphosate selection pressure could be established from field management histories ($N=89$), selection intensity was found to be a significant predictor of the glyphosate LD₅₀ (Table 1). The relationship was positive, indicating that increased selection pressure is driving an evolutionary response for reduced glyphosate sensitivity. The non-herbicidal use of glyphosate as a pre-harvest desiccant was independently assessed as a predictor of the LD₅₀, but no significant relationship was found (see supporting information Table S3). The only other significant predictor of glyphosate sensitivity was Black-grass emergence (Table 1). This variable estimates the mean proportion of the *A. myosuroides* population that was exposed to glyphosate treatment. The relationship is positive, suggesting that selection pressure is greater when a higher proportion of the population is exposed to glyphosate. Black-grass population density at the field collection site was not significantly related to the glyphosate LD₅₀ however, suggesting that population size was not a major driver of higher glyphosate LD₅₀ values or vice-versa. Use-histories for other herbicide modes of action, and the previously characterised population-level degree of resistance to those modes of action, were also not predictive of the glyphosate LD₅₀. These results confirm an epidemiological link between glyphosate usage and reduced glyphosate sensitivity, providing compelling evidence that this trait is undergoing selection in the field.

Discussion

Previous epidemiological assessment of UK populations of *Alopecurus myosuroides* (Black-grass) has revealed widespread resistance to post-emergence herbicides, driven by herbicide exposure (Hicks *et al.*, 2018). In the current study we have extended this epidemiological approach, using a combination of glyphosate sensitivity assays, quantitative genetics, systematic population monitoring and the dissection of selection histories to provide a pre-emptive assessment of the evolution toward a glyphosate resistance trait. We have demonstrated that selection pressure for glyphosate resistance is increasing amongst UK populations of Black-grass. We show that standing phenotypic variation in glyphosate sensitivity both within and between Black-grass populations has a

heritable basis that responds to selection, and that population-level variation in glyphosate sensitivity correlates with a selection gradient, revealing the evolutionary potential for a glyphosate resistance trait within this species.

In the fields of agriculture, medicine, and conservation biology, it is now widely recognised that the evolution of organisms in the face of human activity can occur rapidly, on contemporary timescales (Hendry *et al.*, 2011; 2017). Eco-evolutionary approaches are now being adopted to study adaptation to anthropogenic selection from a conservation perspective (Cotto *et al.*, 2017), for example to identify key components of climatic change associated with changes in biodiversity (Greenville *et al.*, 2018), and to highlight species at risk (Jordan *et al.*, 2017). Insight from such studies can be used to proactively predict evolutionary responses to future anthropogenic climate change (e.g. Ikeda *et al.*, 2017; Inoue & Berg, 2017), highlighting organisms and habitats for more concerted conservation. Whilst rapid evolution is often desirable for efforts to conserve species and ecosystems in the face of environmental change, in agriculture and medicine this process is epitomised by the evolution of resistance to pesticides and antimicrobials (Rex Consortium, 2013), threatening efforts to produce food and maintain healthy populations (Carroll *et al.*, 2011). While the evolution of resistance has traditionally been studied reactively (Beckie *et al.*, 2000), there has been a growing realisation of the need to understand the key evolutionary drivers of resistance, and to act pro-actively to minimise the evolution of resistance to new and future chemistry (Holmes *et al.*, 2016; Gould *et al.*, 2018).

Glyphosate, a broad-spectrum non-selective herbicide first introduced in 1974, is now the world's most widely used herbicide (Benbrook, 2016). While glyphosate resistance was originally considered unlikely, it has now been shown to evolve independently in a wide range of species across many different agricultural systems (Preston *et al.*, 2009; Evans *et al.*, 2016). First reported in populations of *Lolium rigidum* from Australia (Powles *et al.*, 1998), evolved glyphosate resistance has now been confirmed in 41 species globally (Heap, 2018), with many recent cases reported following the adoption of genetically-modified glyphosate resistant (GR) crops (Powles, 2008). Given the precedent for such widespread response to glyphosate selection, resistance has now been predicted to occur wherever glyphosate usage has increased, and where resistance to other available control options has evolved (Preston *et al.*, 2009), with highly fecund, outcrossing species such as *A. myosuroides* expected to have a greater risk of glyphosate resistance evolution in these situations (Neve, 2008). In the current study, glyphosate use was shown to have risen significantly, with national-scale data reflecting an eight-fold increase in glyphosate usage (FERA, 2017). Given this, and *A. myosuroides*' widespread resistance to other control options (Hicks *et al.*, 2018), we can infer that

the selective pressure for glyphosate resistance in UK *A. myosuroides* populations is increasing, highlighting concerns over current glyphosate use patterns.

Although herbicide resistance has often been regarded as a binary, single gene trait inherited in Mendelian fashion, there is a growing recognition that many resistance mechanisms are inherited quantitatively (Gressel, 2009; Délye *et al.*, 2013; Manalil, 2015). Such quantitatively-inherited resistance is now confirmed across multiple taxa, conveying resistance to herbicides (Délye *et al.*, 2013), fungicides (Zhan *et al.*, 2006), and antibiotics (Mwangi *et al.*, 2007). Whilst glyphosate resistance is sometimes attributed to single major-gene mechanisms (e.g. Sammons & Gaines, 2014), there is now evidence that it can also be inherited as a quantitative trait (Busi & Powles, 2009; Chandi *et al.*, 2012; Mohseni-Moghadam *et al.*, 2013). For example, EPSPS gene amplification has been shown to convey glyphosate resistance, with the extent of resistance positively correlated with EPSPS gene copy number (Gaines *et al.*, 2010). Vacuolar sequestration and reduced translocation are the predominant resistance mechanisms in many weed species, and can convey variable and population-specific levels of resistance (e.g. Dominguez-Valenzuela *et al.*, 2017; Fernández-Moreno *et al.*, 2017). In *Conyza canadensis*, Tani *et al.* (2015) found that resistance involved synchronised upregulated expression of multiple ABC transporter genes, while Maroli *et al.* (2015) found a role for ROS scavenging potential and upregulation of the phenylpropanoid pathway in glyphosate resistance in *Amaranthus palmeri*. These mechanisms can give rise to quantitative patterns of resistance, potentially under polygenic control, which may evolve via a sequential accumulation of alleles conveying minor effects; also called 'creeping resistance' (Gressel, 2002).

The pronounced, and heritable, variation in sensitivity to glyphosate demonstrated by the current study provides compelling evidence that the adaptive potential for a glyphosate resistance trait is present amongst UK *A. myosuroides* populations. Although mean mortality at field application rates was high (95.4%, \pm 0.6 SE) survivors at this dose were observed in several populations, demonstrating the potential for individuals to survive glyphosate application within agricultural fields, particularly where sub-optimal spraying conditions lead to lowered effective doses. Davies & Neve (2017) found comparable variation in glyphosate sensitivity between UK *A. myosuroides* populations, and demonstrated that two generations of experimental glyphosate selection were enough to significantly increase glyphosate LD₅₀ values. Similar heritable variation in glyphosate sensitivity in the comparable grass weed *Lolium rigidum* has been shown to facilitate the evolution of a glyphosate resistance trait (Busi & Powles, 2009). Whilst heritabilities were lower than those reported for some adaptive traits in other grasses (Studer *et al.*, 2008; Majidi *et al.*, 2009), quantitative genetic analysis confirms that there is an additive genetic basis to glyphosate sensitivity,

with population-level resistance shown to increase following just one generation of selection. Given the existence of this heritable, additive genetic variance, standard quantitative genetics models would predict that ongoing selection with glyphosate will provide continued directional selection for reduced glyphosate sensitivity, ultimately resulting in increasing survival frequencies at realistic field application rates. The observed sustained increase in national glyphosate usage, and the correlation between historical selection and population-level glyphosate sensitivity, suggest that this directional selection is already occurring in the field.

It has been argued that a more integrated understanding of the processes contributing to the evolution of herbicide resistance at the population and ecosystem level is needed (Neve *et al.*, 2009; Busi *et al.*, 2013), and epidemiological approaches (studying the origin, distribution and transmission of detrimental organisms or alleles) have been suggested as an important tool in generating this understanding (Gressel, 2002). Epidemiological approaches have proven valuable in this capacity, for example elucidating the dynamics of plant disease epidemics (Gigot *et al.*, 2017; Ojiambo *et al.*, 2017), understanding the evolution of azole resistance in *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species (Gonçalves *et al.*, 2016), and predicting the distribution of resistant weeds in Italian rice cropping regions (Mascanzoni *et al.*, 2018), yet such studies remain rare. In the current study, epidemiological analysis using historic field management data provides clear evidence of glyphosate usage causing selection for reduced glyphosate sensitivity in the field. Previous studies have similarly found evidence for herbicide intensity driving evolved herbicide resistance in *A. myosuroides* (Hicks *et al.*, 2018), and for associations between glyphosate use and glyphosate resistance in *Amaranthus tuberculatus* (Evans *et al.*, 2016). However, these studies were reactive, using resistance epidemiology after widespread control failure. The low observed survival at the field-rate glyphosate dose, and the lack of a significant association between LD₅₀ and *A. myosuroides* abundance in the current study suggests that current variation in glyphosate sensitivity is not yet compromising control of these populations under field conditions. As a result, none of the tested populations would yet be considered to possess an evolved glyphosate resistance trait, providing an opportunity for pro-active intervention to limit further selection for resistance. The current study reaffirms the utility of an epidemiological approach, and crucially, demonstrates that such approaches can provide valuable information on the risk and key evolutionary drivers of a pesticide resistance trait pro-actively, before any confirmed cases of resistance are observed.

It is now widely recognised that instigating approaches for resistance management pro-actively can maximise the efficacy of any intervention, and potentially delay the evolution of resistance (Mueller *et al.*, 2005; Weersink *et al.*, 2005). Farmers are increasingly receptive of proactive resistance

management if it can safeguard herbicide use for longer (Beckie, 2011), and because higher short-term costs (e.g. Weersink *et al.*, 2005) are often compensated by higher yields and greater profitability in the longer-term (Edwards *et al.*, 2014). As a result, approaches such as those in the current study which pre-empt evolution, and identify specific management factors to target for pro-active management, will become increasingly important for managing contemporary evolution of resistance to anthropogenic selection. Pro-active surveillance to detect early signatures of selection for resistance is already being adopted for management of antimicrobial resistance (Hawser, 2012; Morrissey *et al.*, 2013). For weeds, studies with a greater emphasis on quantifying heritable variation in herbicide sensitivity before resistance is reported, could form the basis for pro-active surveillance programmes. When coupled with experimental evolutionary and quantitative genetics studies to assess adaptive potential (e.g. Davies & Neve, 2017), systematic monitoring for herbicide escapes in-field, and potential development of simple molecular markers for resistance (e.g. Tétard-Jones *et al.*, 2018), growers and researchers would be better equipped to identify and pro-actively manage resistance risks. In the current *A. myosuroides* example, the evidence clearly shows that it is the intensity of glyphosate usage which is providing selection for reduced glyphosate sensitivity. Introducing measures now to curb the continued increase in glyphosate usage will provide the best opportunity to delay or prevent a glyphosate resistance trait evolving in this species.

Acknowledgements

This work was conducted with funding from the Biotechnology and Biosciences Research Council (BBSRC; BB/L001489/1) and the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board (AHDB). E.C. was supported by a studentship from AgroParisTech, France. We are grateful to all of the individual farmers and growers that contributed management data and allowed access to sites for Black-grass monitoring and seed collection. The dose-response study was conducted in the glasshouse facilities at Rothamsted Research and we wish to thank everyone that was involved with growth and maintenance of the plants, in particular Imogen Durenkamp and Helen Metcalfe for helping to sow and harvest plants.

Author contributions

D.Comont and P.N conceived and designed the study. D.Comont and H.H led the field monitoring and collection of seed populations and farm management data. D.Comont, L.C., R.H., and E.C. performed glasshouse experiments. D.Comont analysed data with statistical advice provided by R.F,

D.Childs., J. H. and H.H. Research funding for this project was awarded to P.N., R.F and D.Childs. The paper was written by D.Comont and P.N., with contributions from all authors.

References

- Bartoń K. 2016.** MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.15.6. *The Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN)*, Vienna, Austria.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015.** Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**(1): 1-48.
- Beckie HJ. 2011.** Herbicide-resistant weed management: focus on glyphosate. *Pest management science* **67**(9): 1037-1048.
- Beckie HJ, Heap IM, Smeda RJ, Hall LM. 2000.** Screening for herbicide resistance in weeds. *Weed technology* **14**(2): 428-445.
- Benbrook CM. 2016.** Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally. *Environmental Sciences Europe* **28**: 3.
- Bradshaw LD, Padgett SR, Kimball SL, Wells BH. 1997.** Perspectives on glyphosate resistance. *Weed technology* **11**(1): 189-198.
- Busi R, Powles S. 2009.** Evolution of glyphosate resistance in a *Lolium rigidum* population by glyphosate selection at sublethal doses. *Heredity* **103**(4): 318-325.
- Busi R, Vila-Aiub MM, Beckie HJ, Gaines TA, Goggin DE, Kaundun SS, Lacoste M, Neve P, Nissen SJ, Norsworthy JK, et al. 2013.** Herbicide-resistant weeds: from research and knowledge to future needs. *Evolutionary Applications* **6**(8): 1218-1221.
- Carroll S, Kinnison MT, Bernatchez L. 2011.** In light of evolution: interdisciplinary challenges in food, health, and the environment. *Evolutionary Applications* **4**(2): 155-158.
- Carroll SP, Jørgensen PS, Kinnison MT, Bergstrom CT, Denison RF, Gluckman P, Smith TB, Strauss SY, Tabashnik BE. 2014.** Applying evolutionary biology to address global challenges. *Science* **346**: 1245993.
- Chandi A, Milla-Lewis SR, Giacomini D, Westra P, Preston C, Jordan DL, York AC, Burton JD, Whitaker JR. 2012.** Inheritance of evolved glyphosate resistance in a North Carolina Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) biotype. *International Journal of Agronomy* **2012**: 176108.
- Cotto O, Wessely J, Georges D, Klonner G, Schmid M, Dullinger S, Thuiller W, Guillaume F. 2017.** A dynamic eco-evolutionary model predicts slow response of alpine plants to climate warming. *Nature Communications* **8**: 15399.
- Davies J, Davies D. 2010.** Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews* **74**(3): 417-433.
- Davies LR, Neve P. 2017.** Interpopulation variability and adaptive potential for reduced glyphosate sensitivity in *Alopecurus myosuroides*. *Weed research* **57**: 323-332.
- Délye C, Jasieniuk M, Le Corre V. 2013.** Deciphering the evolution of herbicide resistance in weeds. *Trends in Genetics* **29**(11): 649-658.
- Dominguez-Valenzuela JA, Gherekhloo J, Fernández-Moreno PT, Cruz-Hipolito HE, Alcántara-de la Cruz R, Sánchez-González E, De Prado R. 2017.** First confirmation and characterization of target and non-target site resistance to

glyphosate in Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) from Mexico. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **115**(Supplement C): 212-218.

- Edwards CB, Jordan DL, Owen MD, Dixon PM, Young BG, Wilson RG, Weller SC, Shaw DR. 2014.** Benchmark study on glyphosate-resistant crop systems in the United States. Economics of herbicide resistance management practices in a 5 year field-scale study. *Pest management science* **70**(12): 1924-1929.
- Evans JA, Tranel PJ, Hager AG, Schutte B, Wu C, Chatham LA, Davis AS. 2016.** Managing the evolution of herbicide resistance. *Pest management science* **72**(1): 74-80.
- FERA 2017.** Pesticide usage survey: available online at: <https://secure.fera.defra.gov.uk/pusstats/surveys/>. [accessed 14 June 2018].
- Fernández-Moreno PT, Travlos I, Brants I, De Prado R. 2017.** Different levels of glyphosate-resistant *Lolium rigidum* L. among major crops in southern Spain and France. *Scientific Reports* **7**: 13116.
- Gaines TA, Zhang W, Wang D, Bukun B, Chisholm ST, Shaner DL, Nissen SJ, Patzoldt WL, Tranel PJ, Culpepper AS. 2010.** Gene amplification confers glyphosate resistance in *Amaranthus palmeri*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**(3): 1029-1034.
- Garthwaite D, Barker I, Laybourn R, Huntly A, Parrish GP, Hudson S, Thygesen H. 2015.** *Pesticide usage report 263: arable crops in the United Kingdom 2014*. Fera, York, UK.
- Gigot C, Turechek WW, McRoberts N. 2017.** Analysis of the spatial pattern of strawberry angular leaf spot in California nursery production. *Phytopathology* **107**(10): 1243-1255.
- Gonçalves SS, Souza ACR, Chowdhary A, Meis JF, Colombo AL. 2016.** Epidemiology and molecular mechanisms of antifungal resistance in *Candida* and *Aspergillus*. *Mycoses* **59**(4): 198-219.
- Gould F, Brown ZS, Kuzma J. 2018.** Wicked evolution: Can we address the sociobiological dilemma of pesticide resistance? *Science* **360**(6390): 728-732.
- Green JM. 2009.** Evolution of glyphosate-resistant crop technology. *Weed Science* **57**(1): 108-117.
- Greenville AC, Burns E, Dickman CR, Keith DA, Lindenmayer DB, Morgan JW, Heinze D, Mansergh I, Gillespie GR, Einoder L, et al. 2018.** Biodiversity responds to increasing climatic extremes in a biome-specific manner. *Science of The Total Environment* **634**: 382-393.
- Gressel J. 2002.** *Molecular biology of weed control*: Taylor and Francis, London.
- Gressel J. 2009.** Evolving understanding of the evolution of herbicide resistance. *Pest management science* **65**(11): 1164-1173.
- Halekoh U, Højsgaard S. 2014.** A kenward-roger approximation and parametric bootstrap methods for tests in linear mixed models—the R package pbkrtest. *Journal of Statistical Software* **59**(9): 1-32.
- Hawser S 2012.** Surveillance programmes and antibiotic resistance: worldwide and regional monitoring of antibiotic resistance trends. In: Coates A ed. *Antibiotic Resistance*: Springer, 31-43, Berlin, Germany.
- Heap I 2014.** Herbicide resistant weeds. In: Pimentel D, Peshin R eds. *Integrated pest management: pesticide problems*: Springer Science & Business Media, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 281-301.
- Heap I 2018.** The international survey of herbicide resistant weeds: available online at: <http://www.weedscience.org/>. [accessed 3 July 2018].
- Heckel DG. 2012.** Insecticide resistance after silent spring. *Science* **337**(6102): 1612-1614.
- Hendry AP, Gotanda KM, Svensson EI. 2017.** Human influences on evolution, and the ecological and societal consequences. *Philosophical Transactions Of The Royal Society B* **372**: 20160028.
- Hendry AP, Kinnison MT, Heino M, Day T, Smith TB, Fitt G, Bergstrom CT, Oakeshott J, Jørgensen PS, Zalucki MP. 2011.** Evolutionary principles and their practical application. *Evolutionary Applications* **4**(2): 159-183.

- Hicks H, Comont D, Coutts S, Crook L, Hull R, Norris K, Neve P, Childs D, Freckleton R. 2018. Uncovering the factors driving evolved resistance at a national scale. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **2**: 529-536.
- Holmes AH, Moore LSP, Sundsfjord A, Steinbakk M, Regmi S, Karkey A, Guerin PJ, Piddock LJV. 2016. Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. *The Lancet* **387**(10014): 176-187.
- Ikeda DH, Max TL, Allan GJ, Lau MK, Shuster SM, Whitham TG. 2017. Genetically informed ecological niche models improve climate change predictions. *Global Change Biology* **23**(1): 164-176.
- Inoue K, Berg DJ. 2017. Predicting the effects of climate change on population connectivity and genetic diversity of an imperiled freshwater mussel, *Cumberlandia monodonta* (*Bivalvia: Margaritiferidae*), in riverine systems. *Global Change Biology* **23**(1): 94-107.
- James C. 2010. *Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2010*: International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-Biotech Applications (ISAAA), Ithaca, NY.
- Jordan R, Hoffmann AA, Dillon SK, Prober SM. 2017. Evidence of genomic adaptation to climate in *Eucalyptus microcarpa*: Implications for adaptive potential to projected climate change. *Molecular ecology* **26**(21): 6002-6020.
- Kalogirou S. 2016. lctools-package: local correlation, spatial inequalities, spatial regression. *The Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN)*, Vienna, Austria.
- Lucas JA, Hawkins NJ, Fraaije BA. 2015. The evolution of fungicide resistance. *Advances in applied microbiology* **90**: 29-92.
- Majidi MM, Mirlohi A, Amini F. 2009. Genetic variation, heritability and correlations of agro-morphological traits in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.). *Euphytica* **167**(3): 323-331.
- Manalil S. 2015. An analysis of polygenic herbicide resistance evolution and its management based on a population genetics approach. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **16**(2): 104-111.
- Maroli AS, Nandula VK, Dayan FE, Duke SO, Gerard P, Tharayil N. 2015. Metabolic profiling and enzyme analyses indicate a potential role of antioxidant systems in complementing glyphosate resistance in an *Amaranthus palmeri* biotype. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **63**(41): 9199-9209.
- Mascanzoni E, Perego A, Marchi N, Scarabel L, Panozzo S, Ferrero A, Acutis M, Sattin M. 2018. Epidemiology and agronomic predictors of herbicide resistance in rice at a large scale. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* **38**(6): 68.
- Mohseni-Moghadam M, Schroeder J, Ashigh J. 2013. Mechanism of resistance and inheritance in glyphosate resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) populations from New Mexico, USA. *Weed Science* **61**(4): 517-525.
- Morrissey I, Hackel M, Badal R, Bouchillon S, Hawser S, Biedenbach D. 2013. A review of ten years of the study for monitoring antimicrobial resistance trends (SMART) from 2002 to 2011. *Pharmaceuticals* **6**(11): 1335-1346.
- Moss SR, Perryman SA, Tatnell LV. 2007. Managing herbicide-resistant blackgrass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*): theory and practice. *Weed technology* **21**(2): 300-309.
- Mueller TC, Mitchell PD, Young BG, Culpepper AS. 2005. Proactive versus reactive management of glyphosate-resistant or-tolerant weeds. *Weed technology* **19**(4): 924-933.
- Mwangi MM, Wu SW, Zhou Y, Sieradzki K, de Lencastre H, Richardson P, Bruce D, Rubin E, Myers E, Siggia ED. 2007. Tracking the in vivo evolution of multidrug resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* by whole-genome sequencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**(22): 9451-9456.
- Neve P. 2008. Simulation modelling to understand the evolution and management of glyphosate resistance in weeds. *Pest management science* **64**(4): 392-401.
- Neve P, Vila-Aiub M, Roux F. 2009. Evolutionary-thinking in agricultural weed management. *New Phytologist* **184**(4): 783-793.
- Oerke EC. 2006. Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **144**(1): 31-43.

- Ojiambo P, Yuen J, van den Bosch F, Madden L. 2017. Epidemiology: past, present, and future impacts on understanding disease dynamics and improving plant disease management—a summary of focus issue articles. *Phytopathology* **107**(10): 1092-1094.
- Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin P, O'Hara R, Simpson G, Solymos P, et al. 2017. Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.4-2. *The Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN)*, Vienna, Austria.
- Palumbi SR. 2001. Humans as the world's greatest evolutionary force. *Science* **293**(5536): 1786-1790.
- Powles SB. 2008. Evolved glyphosate-resistant weeds around the world: lessons to be learnt. *Pest management science* **64**(4): 360-365.
- Powles SB, Debrah FL-C, James JD, Preston C. 1998. Evolved resistance to glyphosate in rigid ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*) in Australia. *Weed Science* **46**(5): 604-607.
- Preston C, Wakelin AM, Dolman FC, Bostamam Y, Boutsalis P. 2009. A decade of glyphosate-resistant *Lolium* around the world: mechanisms, genes, fitness, and agronomic management. *Weed Science* **57**(4): 435-441.
- Queenborough SA, Burnet KM, Sutherland WJ, Watkinson AR, Freckleton RP. 2011. From meso-to macroscale population dynamics: a new density-structured approach. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **2**(3): 289-302.
- Rex Consortium. 2013. Heterogeneity of selection and the evolution of resistance. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **28**(2): 110-118.
- Ritz C, Baty F, Streibig JC, Gerhard D. 2015. Dose-response analysis using R. *PLoS One* **10**(12): e0146021.
- Sammons RD, Gaines TA. 2014. Glyphosate resistance: state of knowledge. *Pest management science* **70**(9): 1367-1377.
- Studer B, Jensen LB, Hentrup S, Brazauskas G, Kölliker R, Lübberstedt T. 2008. Genetic characterisation of seed yield and fertility traits in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **117**(5): 781-791.
- Tabashnik BE, Mota-Sanchez D, Whalon ME, Hollingworth RM, Carrière Y. 2014. Defining terms for proactive management of resistance to Bt crops and pesticides. *Journal of economic entomology* **107**(2): 496-507.
- Tani E, Chachalis D, Travlos IS. 2015. A glyphosate resistance mechanism in *Conyza canadensis* involves synchronization of EPSPS and ABC-transporter genes. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* **33**(6): 1721-1730.
- Tétard-Jones C, Sabbadin F, Moss S, Hull R, Neve P, Edwards R. 2018. Changes in the proteome of the problem weed blackgrass correlating with multiple-herbicide resistance. *The Plant Journal* **94**(4): 709-720.
- Tilman D, Balzer C, Hill J, Belfort BL. 2011. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**(50): 20260-20264.
- Weersink A, Llewellyn RS, Pannell DJ. 2005. Economics of pre-emptive management to avoid weed resistance to glyphosate in Australia. *Crop Protection* **24**(7): 659-665.
- Wilson AJ, Reale D, Clements MN, Morrissey MM, Postma E, Walling CA, Kruuk LE, Nussey DH. 2010. An ecologist's guide to the animal model. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **79**(1): 13-26.
- Zhan J, Stefanato F, McDonald B. 2006. Selection for increased cyproconazole tolerance in *Mycosphaerella graminicola* through local adaptation and in response to host resistance. *Molecular plant pathology* **7**(4): 259-268.

Figures

Figure 1: Historical pattern of glyphosate usage within Great Britain. (a) total area (ha) receiving glyphosate treatment. Data collated from the FERA pesticide usage survey (Garthwaite *et al.*, 2015). (b) The estimated change in annual frequency of glyphosate applications \pm 95% confidence bounds (shaded region) following generalized linear mixed-model analysis of glyphosate usage histories from 67 fields with a complete set of data spanning nine years from 2006 to 2014. P-value and estimated slope of the relationship are shown (Z-statistic = 2.613 with 598 residual degrees of freedom). Significance is denoted by asterisks at: $P \leq 0.05$ *, $P \leq 0.01$ **, $P \leq 0.001$ ***).

Figure 2: Location of the *Alopecurus myosuroides* populations used throughout the current study. Colours denote percentage survival of each population following a glasshouse whole-plant assay at (a) 405 g ha⁻¹ glyphosate treatment (0.75x field rate), and (b) 540 g ha⁻¹ glyphosate treatment (field rate). Survival was assessed for 18 plants per population per dose, three weeks after herbicide application. See also supporting information Table S2 for details on spatial autocorrelation analysis.

Figure 3: Glyphosate LD₅₀ values (\pm 95% CI) of the field-collected *Alopecurus myosuroides* populations, calculated from a fitted dose-response model of survival data. Data was derived from a whole-plant dose-response assay under glasshouse conditions, using seedlings grown from 132 field collected seed populations. The Y-axis shows the glyphosate dose required to kill 50% of individuals in each population (LD₅₀), with populations along the X-axis sorted from the most sensitive (left) to least sensitive to glyphosate (right).

Figure 4: Heritability of reduced sensitivity to glyphosate estimated from 400 pedigreed *Alopecurus myosuroides* families with a paternal $\frac{1}{2}$ sibling structure. (a) Narrow sense-heritabilities calculated as: s_i^2 / s_p^2 , and (b), estimates of the genetic correlation between pairs of traits, from a quantitative genetics analysis of log-transformed aboveground plant biomass following glyphosate applications at 0, 216 and 378 g ha⁻¹. Closed circles represent the posterior mode, with horizontal bars showing the 95% credible interval. (c) Dose-response analysis of progeny derived from survivors of glyphosate application. Solid lines show the fitted binomial log-logistic regression models, with shaded regions representing the 95% confidence bounds of the fitted relationship, and points showing the mean proportional survival \pm SE at each tested dose.

Tables

Table 1: Results of a mixed model analysis for the effect of field management histories and phenotypic resistance to other herbicide modes of action on glyphosate sensitivity (LD_{50} values) of UK *Alopecurus myosuroides* populations.

Fixed effects	DF	Estimate	SE	Sum Sq	P-value
Population size and cultivation					
Black-grass density	1	-0.007	0.115	0.793	0.217 ns
Proportion Autumn sown	1	0.408	0.134	0.417	0.517 ns
Black-grass emergence	1	0.270	0.143	3.027	0.026 *
Cultivation score	1	0.150	0.118	0.133	0.661 ns
Herbicide usage					
Glyphosate Sep-May	1	0.452	0.156	3.407	0.008 **
HRAC Turnover	1	0.164	0.138	1.129	0.142 ns
HRAC diversity	1	-0.126	0.152	0.379	0.447 ns
HRAC mixing	1	-0.092	0.121	0.060	0.763 ns
Herbicide resistance					
AtI Survival PC	1	0.277	0.149	1.649	0.081 ns
Cyc Survival PC	1	-0.330	0.157	1.612	0.096 ns
Fen Survival PC	1	0.170	0.129	0.865	0.238 ns
R² marginal: 0.240		R² conditional: 0.565			

Variables representing historic field cultivation and herbicide use, and principal components scores representing phenotypic resistance to other herbicides were included as fixed effects (see supporting information Table S1 for definitions), while farm was fitted as a random effect to account for non-independence.

Individual terms were added sequentially, and the significance of each term calculated by comparison to the previous model using parametric bootstrapping.

Asterisks denote significance at: $P \leq 0.05$ *, $P \leq 0.01$ **, $P \leq 0.001$ ***, ns=non-significant.

Supporting Information

Table S1: Name and description of all population, management, and herbicide resistance measures used throughout this study.

Table S2: Results of mantel tests for spatial autocorrelation of glyphosate sensitivity data.

Table S3: Analysis of the effect of timing of glyphosate application on the glyphosate LD₅₀.

Table S4: Global Morans-I analysis for spatial autocorrelation on residuals from the mixed-model analysis of field management effects on the glyphosate LD₅₀.

Figure S1: Local Morans-I analysis for spatial autocorrelation on residuals from the mixed-model analysis of field management effects on the glyphosate LD₅₀.

Table S5: Results of a backward step-wise model reduction of both fixed and random effects from the full fitted model of field management effects on the glyphosate LD₅₀.







